

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,208	06/20/2003	Curtis A. Vock	409512	7339
30955 7	590 . 06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
LATHROP & GAGE LC 4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE			MILLER, CRAIG S	
SUITE 300	SHOT CHICEE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER, C	CO 80301		2857	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	and the same same same same same same same sam	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/601,208	VOCK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Craig Miller	2857	An
A SHOTHE NO. A SHOTHE NO. Externafter: If the If NO. Failur Any r earne Status 1) 2a)	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 July 10 August 1.10 August 1.1	(IS SET TO EXPIRE MON 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayse will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed wine 2003. action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro-	NTH(S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cord (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any	mmunication.
Dienositi	on of Claims	x parte quayle, 1000 o.b. 11, 10		
4) \(\times \) 5) \(\times \) 6) \(\times \) 7) \(\times \)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachmen		-		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate)-152)

Tech. Center 2857

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - I. The species best illustrated by claims 1-9.
 - II. The species best illustrated by claims 10-15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently no claim is deemed generic.

2. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 3. A telephone call was made to Curtis A. Vock on 25 May 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Craig Steven Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2219. Art Unit facsimile services are now available at (703) 308-7722.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays and Thursdays from 7:30am-4:00pm EDT. Should repeated attempts to reach the Examiner be unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Marc Hoff may be reached at (571) 272-2216.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Craig Steven Miller (ss) 27 May 2004

MARC S. HUPF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800